

Unreasonable punishment?

Or incomplete reporting? A story in today's paper talks about a choir director who quit after the school disciplined him by reducing him from director to assistant director, accompanied by a 20% pay cut. What was his infraction? He let two students who "recently violated the school's co-curricular code" dress for a concert though they did not actually perform. School code says they could not even dress for the event. Wait, they *dressed for a performance??* How... how could the director *allow* this? Hang him by his thumbs! The students didn't actually sing? Well so what? Hang him up anyway! To me, this punishment is only a little less ridiculous. However, before I get all bent out of shape, I have to admit to myself there may be more than the paper isn't reporting, such as were there any problems before this that may have caused the punishment to be more severe than it otherwise would have been? However, the article really gives no indication there could be more than meets the eye.

[Prospect High School choir director quits over punishment](#)

On a side note, the former choir director at this school was convicted a couple years ago of sexual abuse of some of his students. It was all over the paper at the time and I actually had been in a musical with him at one time. So had someone else somehow involved at this blog site- you know who you are. □ Just thought I'd mention it even if it's not at all relevant.